



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/734,188

12/15/2003

Rudolf E. Von Glan

11884/409201

1507

23838 7590 04/20/2007  
KENYON & KENYON LLP  
1500 K STREET N.W.  
SUITE 700  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

CHEN, TE Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2161

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/20/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

CD

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/734,188	VON GLAN, RUDOLF E.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Susan Y. Chen	2161	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Susan Y. Chen. (3) Robert L. Hails, Jr..  
 (2) Aaron Kamlay. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 April 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 6,289,334.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Susan Chen  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that the novelty of instant invention is having random numbers stored in a partition table in a database system, the examiner is not persuaded and points out that the novelty of the instant invention as claimed was met by the above referred patent No. as recited in the Final office action on record. In addition, the examiner points out that the proposed claim 32 merely generates a static response for an intended read operation which might still need some real world application to remove the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection on record. Applicant indicates that he will amend the claims to further reflect the novelty of the instant invention.